

UNITED STATE DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
Patent and Tr. mark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231

FILING DATE FIRST NAMED APPLICANT ATTY. DOCKET NO. Savatives Sak Bet EXAMINER

0M12/01:0 THOMAS A VIGIL VIGIL & ASSOCIATES

236 S NORTHWEST HIGHWAY SUITE EI BARRINGTON IL 60010-4683

APPLICATION NUMBER

09/17/1 72%

HOLDINART UNIT PAPER NUMBER 6

3764 DATE MAILED:

01/10/90

This is a communication from the examiner in charge of your

This is a communication from the examiner in charge of your application. COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS	
OFFICE ACTION SUMMARY	
Responsive to communication(s) filed on	
This action is FINAL.	
Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 D.C. 11; 453 O.G. 213.	
A shortened statutory period for response to this action is set to expire	
Disposition of Claims	
Sclaim(s) 1-6 is/are pending in the application	n
Of the above, claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideratio	n.
Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) and 5-6 is/are rejected.	
☐ Claim(s) 1 4 2 4 5 5 6 is/are rejected. ☐ Claim(s) 2 - 4 is/are objected to.	
Claim(s)	nt.
Application Papers	
See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948. The drawing(s) filed on	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	
Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d).	
☑ All ☐ Some* ☐ None of the CERTIFIED copies of the priority documents have been	
received. received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Number) received in this national stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	
*Certified copies not received:	
Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e).	
Attachment(s)	
Motice of Reference Cited, PTO-892	
Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No(s).	
Interview Summary, PTO-413	
Notice of Draftperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948	
Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-152	
SEE OFFICE ACTION ON THE FOLLOWING PAGES	j
PTOL-326 (Rev. 996)	/

* U.S. GPO: 1996-421-6:41

Application/Control Number: 09/171,732 Page 2

Art Unit: 3764

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

1. Claim 5 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

In claim 5, "velcro" is a trademark that must be changed to read -- hook and loop or hook and pile --

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless --

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 3. Claims 1 and 6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Moore '467.

Moore discloses in figures 1-7 an orthopedic splint comprising a covering section 12, stabilizing sticks 52, a padding 42 for the calf and component parts (one section is on one side of 52 and the other section is the center of the cover, in figure 4).

!

Application/Control Number: 09/171,732 Page 3

Art Unit: 3764

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claim 5 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Moore '467 in view of Wang.

Moore discloses in figures 1-7 an orthopedic splint, substantially as claimed. However, Moore does not disclose a velcro fastener used to fasten the pad inside of the covering. Wang teaches in figure 5 an orthopedic splint comprising a pad 43 that is attached to the inside of the splint by a velcro fastener 46. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time that the invention was made that the velcro as taught by Wang could be substituted for the adhesive as disclosed by Moore because both fasteners are functionally equivalent.

Allowable Subject Matter

6. Claims 2-4 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Application/Control Number: 09/171,732 Page 4

Art Unit: 3764

Conclusion

7. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Kurth discloses an orthopedic splint. Although this reference discloses structural

limitations recited in the claims, it was not used to reject any claims, in the first office action.

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Michael Brown whose telephone number is (703) 308-2682.

M. Brown December 15, 1999

> Michael A. Brown Primary Examiner

Midal G. Brown